

**From:** [Lichtinger, Jacob T. \(Fed\)](#)  
**To:** [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Rowhammer paper  
**Date:** Thursday, May 12, 2022 10:25:56 AM

---

Sure, that works for me. I will plan on the 20<sup>th</sup> then.

---

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 12, 2022 9:53 AM  
**To:** Lichtinger, Jacob T. (Fed) <jacob.lichtinger@nist.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Rowhammer paper

Jacob,

If you don't mind – Daniel Apon asked for this talk to be on the 20<sup>th</sup>. He has a schedule conflict with the 17<sup>th</sup>. Is that okay?

Dustin

---

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 5, 2022 10:37 AM  
**To:** Lichtinger, Jacob T. (Fed) <jacob.lichtinger@nist.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Rowhammer paper

Let's do the 17<sup>th</sup> then.

---

**From:** Lichtinger, Jacob T. (Fed)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 5, 2022 10:33 AM  
**To:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Rowhammer paper

Either one is fine with me.

---

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 5, 2022 10:32 AM  
**To:** Lichtinger, Jacob T. (Fed) <jacob.lichtinger@nist.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Rowhammer paper

Ok. Let's shoot for either May 17 or May 20 during one of our PQC meetings. Or would you prefer the Crypto club instead?

---

**From:** Lichtinger, Jacob T. (Fed)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 5, 2022 10:31 AM  
**To:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>

**Subject:** Re: Rowhammer paper

I think an hour and a half should be good.

---

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <[dustin.moody@nist.gov](mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 5, 2022 10:27 AM  
**To:** Lichtinger, Jacob T. (Fed) <[jacob.lichtinger@nist.gov](mailto:jacob.lichtinger@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Rowhammer paper

Ok – great. How much time do you think you want?

---

**From:** Lichtinger, Jacob T. (Fed)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 5, 2022 10:27 AM  
**To:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <[dustin.moody@nist.gov](mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: Rowhammer paper

I think I can be ready in a week.

---

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <[dustin.moody@nist.gov](mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 5, 2022 10:12 AM  
**To:** Lichtinger, Jacob T. (Fed) <[jacob.lichtinger@nist.gov](mailto:jacob.lichtinger@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Rowhammer paper

No specific date in mind. When do you think would be good? How long do you think you'd need to prepare?

Dustin

---

**From:** Lichtinger, Jacob T. (Fed)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 5, 2022 10:11 AM  
**To:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <[dustin.moody@nist.gov](mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: Rowhammer paper

Hi Dustin,

Sure, I can do that. Is there a timeline I should aim for?

Jacob

---

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <[dustin.moody@nist.gov](mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 4, 2022 10:50 AM  
**To:** Lichtinger, Jacob T. (Fed) <[jacob.lichtinger@nist.gov](mailto:jacob.lichtinger@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** Rowhammer paper

Jacob,

I think you've heard me say I think it'd be nice to have a talk on this Rowhammer paper that you're a co-author on. I was talking with Daniel Apon, and he suggested that you would do a great job giving a talk on it, and that it would also be beneficial for your Pathways program objectives. Would you be willing to give a talk on it?

We could do it at a PQC meeting, or if you want a larger audience, we could do it at the Crypto Reading Club (I assume you know what that is, right?). You could decide how in depth you want to go, as well as how long of a talk. What do you think?

Dustin